Flemming Zulack Williamson Zauderer LLP

One Liberty Plaza

New York, New York 10006 Telephone: (212) 412-9550 Facsimile: (212) 964-9200

Attorneys for SGAM AI Equilibrium Fund

UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

SECURITIES INVESTOR PROTECTION CORPORATION,

Adv. Pro. No. 08-01789 (SMB)

Plaintiff-Applicant,

V.

SIPA LIQUIDATION

BERNARD L. MADOFF INVESTMENT SECURITIES LLC,

(Substantively Consolidated)

Defendant.

In re:

BERNARD L. MADOFF,

Debtor.

IRVING H. PICARD, Trustee for the Liquidation of Bernard L. Madoff Investment Securities LLC,

Plaintiff.

V.

SOCIETE GENERALE PRIVATE BANKING (SUISSE) S.A. (*f/k/a* SG Private Banking Suisse S.A.), *et al.*

Defendants.

Adv. Pro. No. 12-01677 (SMB)

SUPPLEMENTAL REPLY MEMORANDUM OF LAW OF SGAM AI EQUILIBRIUM FUND IN FURTHER SUPPORT OF ITS MOTION TO DISMISS BASED ON EXTRATERRITORIALITY AND IN OPPOSITION TO THE TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND HIS COMPLAINT

Defendant SGAM AI Equilibrium Fund ("SG Equilibrium") respectfully submits this supplemental reply memorandum of law in further support of its motion to dismiss based on extraterritoriality and in opposition to the Trustee's motion for leave to amend his complaint. SG Equilibrium also adopts and incorporates in full the arguments and grounds supporting dismissal without leave to amend set forth in the Consolidated Supplemental Reply, in particular the arguments and grounds relating to the checked boxes for SG Equilibrium in the so-called "United States Connections Summary Chart" (the "Chart") attached as Exhibit 2 to the Trustee's Main Memorandum.²

For the reasons shown in the Consolidated Supplemental Reply and below, (1) the Trustee's Complaint against SG Equilibrium filed on May 30, 2012 (the "Complaint") must be dismissed because it contains no allegations that the alleged subsequent transfer from Fairfield Sentry Limited ("Fairfield Sentry") to SG Equilibrium or the alleged subsequent transfer from Kingate Global Fund Ltd. ("Kingate Global") to SG Equilibrium at issue in the Complaint occurred in the United States and (2) the Trustee's motion for leave to amend should be denied on the ground of futility because the Proffer³ submitted by the Trustee in response to the motion likewise fails to satisfy the Trustee's burden of pleading facts giving rise to a plausible inference that the alleged transfers occurred in the United States.

The term "Consolidated Supplemental Reply" refers to the Reply Consolidated Supplemental Memorandum of Law in Support of Transferee Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Based on Extraterritoriality filed on September 30, 2015.

The term "Trustee's Main Memorandum" refers to the Trustee's Memorandum of Law in Opposition to the Transferee Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Based on Extraterritoriality and in Further Support of Trustee's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaints filed in the consolidated docket on June 26, 2015.

The term "Proffer" refers to the Trustee's Proffered Allegations Pertaining to the Extraterritoriality Issue As to Societe Generale Private Banking (Suisse) S.A. et al. filed on June 29, 2015.

THE COMPLAINT MUST BE DISMISSED UNDER THE EXTRATERRITORIALITY DECISION

On July 7, 2014, Judge Rakoff entered an Opinion and Order in which he decided the consolidated motion to dismiss of the Transferee Defendants (including SG Equilibrium) based on extraterritoriality. *Securities Investor Prot. Corp. v. Bernard L. Madoff Inv. Sec. LLC*, 513 B.R. 222 (S.D.N.Y.2014) (the "Extraterritoriality Decision"). Judge Rakoff held:

[I]t is the Trustee's obligation to allege "facts giving rise to the plausible inference that" the transfer occurred "within the United States." (citation omitted) Here, to the extent that the Trustee's complaints allege that both the transferor and the transferee reside outside of the United States, there is no plausible inference that the transfer occurred domestically. Therefore, unless the Trustee can put forth specific facts suggesting a domestic transfer, his recovery actions seeking foreign transfers should be dismissed.

Id. at 232 n.4 (emphasis supplied). Judge Rakoff then remanded the adversary proceedings against SG Equilibrium and the other Transferee Defendants to this Court "for further proceedings consistent with this Opinion and Order." *Id.* at 232.

In his Complaint, the Trustee seeks to recover subsequent transfers that SG Equilibrium allegedly received from Fairfield Sentry and Kingate Global in July 2003. Complaint, ¶¶ 17, 73, 83; and Exhibits E and M thereto. The Complaint alleges that Fairfield Sentry and Kingate Global—the purported transferors—are British Virgin Islands ("BVI") companies currently in liquidation in the BVI. Complaint, Exhibit A (Amended Complaint in *Picard v. Fairfield Sentry Limited, et al.*, No. 09-01239 (SMB), ¶¶ 22, 32); and Exhibit J (Third Amended Complaint in *Picard v. Ceretti, et al.*, No. 09-001161 (SMB)), ¶¶ 57, 64). With respect to SG Equilibrium—the purported transferee—the Trustee alleges as follows:

SG Equilibrium is a *Societe d' Investissement a Capital Variable* organized under the laws of Luxembourg, and its registered address

2

Exhibits A and J are incorporated by reference into the Complaint. Complaint, ¶¶ 67, 77.

is 16 boulevard Royale, L-2449 Luxembourg. SG Equilibrium is in liquidation and is represented by its liquidator, KPMG Advisory Sarl, which is located at 9 allee Scheffer, L-2520 Luxembourg.

Complaint, ¶ 17.

The Trustee's Supplemental Memorandum⁵ does not even attempt to show that the existing Complaint contains sufficient allegations to give rise to a plausible inference that the alleged transfers at issue in the Complaint occurred in the United States. Therefore, the Complaint should be dismissed under the Extraterritoriality Decision.

THE TRUSTEE'S MOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND SHOULD BE DENIED ON THE GROUND OF FUTILITY

The Trustee should not be permitted to amend because the proposed amendments, as set forth in the Proffer, would be futile. The only allegations that would be sufficient to show that the Trustee's claims do not depend on an extraterritorial application of Bankruptcy Code § 550(a) would be allegations showing that the subsequent transfers he seeks to recover occurred within the United States. The Proffer contains no such allegations.

The Proffer contains 95 paragraphs, the vast majority of which relate to the Trustee's new theories that Fairfield Sentry and related funds had their principal operations in the United States, and that the operations of Kingate Global and a related fund were "centered" in New York, or information disclosed in the funds' respective private placement memoranda, or the terms and conditions of the funds' respective subscription agreements. Only 2 of the 95 paragraphs specifically mention SG Equilibrium (Proffer ¶ 2, 10). Another 15 of the 95

The "Trustee's Supplemental Memorandum" refers to the Trustee's Supplemental Memorandum of Law in Opposition to Societe Generale Private Banking (Suisse) S.A., et al.'s Motion to Dismiss Based on Extraterritoriality and in Further Support of the Trustee's Motion for Leave to Amend Complaints, filed on June 29, 2015.

Paragraph 2 alleges that SG Equilibrium invested in Kingate Global. Paragraph 10 alleges that SG Equilibrium's operations and investment portfolio were "managed and operated in the United States by Lyxor Asset

paragraphs use terms or phrases such as "Defendants," "Fairfield Invested Defendants",

"Kingate Invested Defendants" and "Societe Generale group of companies" to refer to SG

Equilibrium indirectly with some or all of the other named defendants with respect to

allegations concerning investments in Fairfield Sentry, Kingate Global and other funds,
including allegations concerning due diligence, rather than with respect to the alleged

transfers whose location is relevant to this motion (Proffer ¶ 4, 12-18, 20, 21, 24-28). The

Proffer provides no information about the alleged subsequent transfer from Kingate Global to
SG Equilibrium; the only information provided about the alleged subsequent transfer from
Fairfield Sentry is a conclusory "information and belief" allegation that SG Equilibrium, as one
of the "remaining Fairfield Invested Defendants", used a bank account at the New York branch
of Societe Generale, S.A. to receive the redemption payment (Proffer ¶ 22).

On the dispositive issue of where the transfers occurred, the Proffer is deliberately silent. The Trustee does not and cannot allege that Fairfield Sentry made the transfer from a bank account in the United States because the Trustee knows that Fairfield Sentry's account was at Citco Bank Nederland NV, Dublin Branch. *See* Trustee's Main Memorandum, n.88 ("[A]though Fairfield Sentry was organized under the laws of the BVI, none of its investors' subscriptions or redemptions flowed through the BVI. Investors wired funds to Fairfield's account at Citco Bank in Ireland"). The Trustee similarly knows that Kingate Global's bank accounts were offshore given that he alleges that subscribers were directed to send subscription moneys through correspondent bank accounts for ultimate deposit in Kingate Global's bank accounts. (Proffer ¶ 24). And, as Judge Rakoff has already ruled, the Trustee's

[Management Inc.]," a U.S. entity. This naked assertion, without supporting factual content, is not a factual allegation that must be accepted as true on this motion. To the contrary, it is precisely the type of "legal conclusion couched as a factual allegation" that the Supreme Court has held to be insufficient to state a claim. *Bell Atlantic Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 555 (2007) (citation omitted).

08-01789-cgm Doc 11580 Filed 09/30/15 Entered 09/30/15 16:42:57 Main Document

allegations concerning the use of U.S. accounts (such as the correspondent account of Fairfield Sentry's bank, Citco Bank Dublin, at HSBC in New York) to process dollar-denominated transfers are not sufficient to satisfy his burden of pleading a domestic transfer. 513 B.R. at 228 n.1.

Simply stated, none of the allegations in the Proffer, singly or in combination, give rise to a plausible inference that the alleged subsequent transfers from Fairfield Sentry and Kingate Global to SG Equilibrium occurred in the United States. The Trustee has made the same or similar allegations in all or nearly all of the 88 proceedings currently before the Court and assigned each allegation to one or more of 19 categories presented in the Trustee's Chart. The Consolidated Supplemental Reply addresses each of the 19 categories and shows why they are not relevant to the dispositive issue of where the transfers occurred. SG Equilibrium adopts and relies on the arguments set forth in the Consolidated Supplemental Reply and therefore will not further address the allegations in the Proffer or the 13 categories the Trustee has checked off for it in the Chart.

Conclusion

SG Equilibrium respectfully requests that the Court dismiss the Trustee's Complaint as against it with prejudice and without leave to amend. The Complaint against SG Equilibrium should be dismissed because it contains no allegations that the alleged subsequent transfers from Fairfield Sentry and Kingate Global occurred in the United States. The Trustee's motion for leave to amend should be denied on the ground of futility because the allegations in the Proffer with respect to "extraterritoriality" do not give rise to a plausible inference that the alleged subsequent transfers occurred in the United States.

Dated: New York, New York September 30, 2015

FLEMMING ZULACK WILLIAMSON ZAUDERER LLP

/s/ John F. Zulack

John F. Zulack One Liberty Plaza New York, New York 10006 Telephone: (212) 412-9500

Attorneys for Defendant SGAM AI Equilibrium Fund